Sexual Offenders: An Overview of Risk Assessment, Recidivism & Treatment Effectiveness Swarthmore College, April 2018

Barry Zakireh, Ph.D.

Private Practice, Clinical & Forensic Psychology Clinical Associate, Department of Psychiatry University of Pennsylvania

> Address & Contact Information: 2101 Pine Street Philadelphia, PA 19107 267-496-9588

Email: drzakireh@forensicpsychdr.com Website: www.forensicpsychdr.com



Types of Sex offenders

- Rapists
- Child molesters
- Internet and pornography offenders
- Exhibitionists
- Other paraphilic behaviors leading to norm violation (e.g., voyeurism)



Rapists: Theories and Typologies

- Limited empirical validation
- MTC Typology (Knight & Prentky)
- Four primary types leading to nine overall types:
 - 1. Opportunistic (impulsive; instrumental aggression)
 - 2. Pervasively angry (gratuitous aggression)
 - 3. Sexual: Sadistic (overt or muted) and non-sadistic

-Enduring sexual preoccupation

-fusion of sex and aggression

4. Vindictive

-hostility toward women; denigrate and humiliate women

- ➢ High offense planning in sexual and sadistic category
- High aggression and violence in pervasively angry, vindictive, and overt sadistic types

Other characteristics associated with rapists

- History of truancy and/or poor school achievement
- Deficits in employment history
- Alcohol or substance abuse
- Personality dynamics w/ power & control
- Low self-esteem, anxiety, sexual inadequacy
- Hypermasculinity



Characteristics associated with child molesters

- Degree of age difference to victims
- Relationship to victims
 - 1/3rd of incest offenders may be pedophilic
 - Frequency and duration of abuse higher in intrafamilial or acquaintance abuse
 - Close relationships less likely to be reported
 - Only 1/4th to 1/3rd of sexual assaults of children are by strangers



Characteristics associated with child molesters

- Victim's Gender
 - Girls abused 2 to 3 times higher than boys
 - History of childhood sexual abuse=increased likelihood of male victims or cross-gender victims
 - Childhood sexual abuse=increased likelihood of offending in or beginning adolescence
- Basic types: child sex offenders
 - Pedophile
 - Pedophile-fixated (Groth, 1970s)
 - Regressed child molester
 - Pedophile-aggressive
 - Non-pedophile

►Barry Zakireh, Ph.D.: drzakireh@forensicpsychdr.com

Some Sex crime statistics

- Number of rapes and sexual assaults (e.g., 1990s):
 - 350,000-500,000 in persons older than age 12.
- Less than 1/3rd actually reported to law enforcement agencies.
- Sex crimes against children more likely underreported
- Upward trend 1976-1992
- Significant Downward trend from 1993 to 2002
- Some exception in 2002—rise of about 5% over previous year.
 - Reasons? Better criminal justice response? Better treatment and/or supervision?

Sources: Greenfeld, 1997; La Fond, 2005; Marshall, 1999; Schwartz, 1995; Salhotz, 1990; Snyder, 2000 (cited in La Fond).



Some Sex crime statistics

- More than 2/3 of sexual assaults involve children under 18
- 99% of victims are females
- Level of harm=level of vulnerability
- Rate of rape in the U.S. substantially higher than that in Germany (four times), Britain (13 times), and Japan (20 times).

- Rates of reoffending (after already having been charged or convicted of a sexual crime) are far lower than commonly perceived
- Lower compared to nonsexual violent and nonviolent crimes.
- Individuals who commit sex offenses are not a homogeneous group, and manifest different trends toward a reoffense.

- Major issue creating confused arguments
 - The overall rate of sex crimes compared to other crimes and over time
 - The varied rates of offending for subgroups of offenders.
 - Difference between having multiple victims prior to being arrested and/or convicted, and continuing to offend after having been identified.
 - Prevalence/incidence vs. recidivism

- Multiple methods to assess recidivism risk
- Specific operational definition of recidivism outcome affects estimates
 - Allegations reported to social services vs. rearrests or reconvictions)
- Variability in orientation and or method of risk assessment
- Actuarial > clinical assessments with some caveats
- Risk prediction vs. Risk assessment

• Static vs. Dynamic Factors

 Static factors are unchanging fixed factors (at time of assessment); good for evaluating long-term risk but cannot account for change over time

 Examples include number of prior sexual or nonsexual convictions; prior stranger victims; prior male victims

- O Dynamic factors can be long-term or historical but have potential for changing through intervention
- Stable dynamic: persistent characteristics which can nevertheless change (e.g., sexual deviance; psychopathology; substance abuse proneness)

 Acute dynamic factors: rapidly changing characteristics (e.g., emotional states; intoxication; victims acquisition behaviors

- Developmental factors
 - Juvenile sexual offense
 - Poor family background
 - Victim of sexual abuse
 - Age at first offense
 - Age at release (inverse)

- Victim factors
 - Extra-familial victim
 - Male victim
 - Stranger/unrelated victim
 - Multiple victims

- Sexual interest factors
 - Sexual arousal/preference for children
 - Deviant sexual interests
 - History of noncontact sexual offense

- Forensic factors
 - Past criminal history
 - Past sexual convictions
 - Past violent convictions
 - Number of prior sentences
 - Time spent in custody

- Clinical factors
 - Lower IQ
 - Marital relationship (inverse)
 - Treatment drop-out/discontinuation
 - Diagnosis of personality disorder
 - Psychopathy

STABLE DYNAMIC FACTORS

1. SOCIAL INFLUENCES (Negative/Delinquent)

2. INTIMACY DEFICITS

- Intimate partners
- Emotional identification with children
- Hostility toward women
- General social rejection / loneliness
- Lack of concern for others

3. SEXUAL SELF-REGULATION

- Sexual pre-occupation / Sex drive
- Sex as coping
- Deviant sexual interests

4. ATTITUDES SUPPORTIVE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT

- Entitlement attitudes
- Attitudes congruent with sexual abuse of adults or children

5. COOPERATION WITH SUPERVISION

6. GENERAL SELF-REGULATION

- Impulsivity
- Poor problem-solving
- Negative emotionality / hostility

>Barry Zakireh, Ph.D.: drzakireh@forensicpsychdr.com



Acute Dynamic Risk Factors

- Symptoms Worsen Immediately Prior to Recidivism
- Increased Social Isolation
- Decrease in Psychological Functioning
- Decreased Cooperation with Supervision
- Increased Sexual Preoccupation
- Increased Anger
- Access to Victims

Recidivism Studies

- Importance of Meta-analytic studies or large samples
- Hanson & Bussiere Metaanalysis (1998)
 - 61 studies; N=28,972 (offenders)
 - 4-5 year follow-up
 - Re-arrest (new charges) or reconviction
 - overall rate=13.4% (n= 23, 393)
 - Rapists=18.9%; child molesters=12.7%

Recidivism Studies

- Harris & Hanson (2004)
 - 10 sub-samples from US, Great Britain; Canada N= 4724
 - 7 to 32 years follow-up
 - Re-arrest (new charges) or reconviction
 - 5-15 year recidivism 14% to 24%
 - After 20 years, 73% offense free
 - 5, 10, 15, 20 years= 14%; 6%; 4%; 3%
 - Previously convicted > First time offenders (37% vs. 19%)
 - Boy CM > girl CM (35% vs. 16%)
 - Rapists > Incest offenders (24% vs. 13%)
 - Under age 50 > Over age 50 (26% vs. 12%)

Recidivism Studies

- Bureau of Justice Statistics; Langan (2003)
- Representative sample of sex offenders released from US State prisons (across 15 states) in 1994 (N=9691)
- Sample consisted of 2/3 of all sex offenders released from prison in US that year
- Follow-up=3 years
- Re-arrest rates: <u>Any crime</u>
 - Sexual offenders= 43%
 - Nonsexual offenders= 68%
- Re-arrest rates: <u>Sexual crimes</u>:
 - Sex offenders=5%
 - Nonsexual offenders= 1.3%
- BUT: Nonsexual offenders accounted for 87% of <u>new</u> sexual offenses
 - Larger size as a group

Recidivism Studies: Implications

- Overall level of recidivism lower than assumed by general public, media, even professionals
- Certain subgroups of sex offenders may be especially at risk with as high as 50% to 80% rates of recidivism
- Erroneous assumptions may lead to oversupervision of low risk offenders or to diversion of funding to extremely restrictive measures
- Importance of empirical assessment of risk
- Focus only on convicted sex offenders is problematic with respect to prevention

<u>Myth</u>: Risk assessment of sex offenders is not reliable or empirically sound

Facts:

- We can estimate whether or not an offender belongs to a high or low risk group with reasonable scientific accuracy.
- Recent empirical analysis has identified a significant number of risk factors that are correlated with repeated sexual aggression
- Qualification and training required to use risk assessment tools to screen offenders

